Technical Sciences, 2022, 25, 35–57



DOI: https://doi.org/10.31648/ts.7278

ABOUT SPECIAL PROPERTIES OF THE HIDDEN STRUCTURE OF TRIANGULAR NUMBERS FOR IMMEDIATE FACTORIZATION

Artur Samojluk

ORCID: 0000-0001-5822-2210 Chair of Mathematics and Computer Science University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn

Received 9 December 2021, accepted 03 March 2022, available online 28 March 2022.

K e y w o r d s: factorization algorithm, RSA, cryptography, numerical experiments, triangular numbers, number theory.

Abstract

The factorization problem belongs to a group of problems important in the security of information systems and cryptography. The article describes a new number factorization algorithm designed based on numerical experiments. We present an extension of number factorization using triangular numbers features. The described algorithm can be used to increase the security of key generation for the RSA algorithm.

Introduction

Cyber security is a problem in the modern world (KALISKI 2006, COMMAND 2012, *RSA CyberCrime Intelligence Service* 2013). The increase in the number of Internet users means more opportunities for cyber criminals. Some of the most dangerous attacks are money thefts (SCHATZ et al. 2017). Cyber criminals

Correspondence: Artur Samojluk, Katedra Metod Matematycznych Informatyki, Wydział Matematyki i Informatyki, Słoneczna 54, 10-710 Olsztyn, e-mail: artur.samojluk@uwm.edu.pl.

find new vulnerabilities in security systems to gain access to areas that should be safe (STEVENS 2018, YOST 2015). Such an area is considered to be where asymmetric security is used, i.e. RSA encryption. RSA keys use pseudoprime number N, which consists of two prime numbers P and Q. RSA security uses a mathematical factorization problem where finding a factorization for a number large N is too computationally complex to break the security in a reasonable amount of time. "The problem of distinguishing prime numbers from composite numbers and of resolving the latter into their prime factors is known to be one of the most important and useful in arithmetic" (GAUSS 1966).

The search for new solutions requires new tools and approaches to data analysis. Current computational capabilities of computers allow for multidimensional analysis of data.

Massive Data analysis open the way to new observations on data, broader calculations and drawing precise conclusions from performed numerical experiments (BREUR 1996, SEGARAN, HAMMERBACHER 2009) The use of data mining allowed for a new research perspective to be explored and conclusions to be drawn (HASTIE et al. 2009, FAYYAD et al. 1996).

Algorithms using factorization problem i.e., RSA (Rivest-Shamir-Adleman), are currently one of the most widely used tools for information encryption. The method based on public and private key is used in civil and military cryptography.

Closely related problem is the distribution of prime numbers in the set of natural numbers (ADLEMAN 2005). The sharpest estimates of the distribution are obtained using properties of the so-called by Riemann zeta function, defined by (ADLEMAN et al. 1983, NIVEN et al. 1991):

$$\zeta(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{4^s} + \dots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \qquad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1.$$

If the famous Riemann hypothesis (RIEMANN 1859, MOREE et al. 2018) is true, then many number theory problems can be solved and the theoretical complexity of the related algorithms can be improved.

The central object of the paper is the so-called triangle numbers T_n :

$$T_n = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + \dots + n$$

obtained by truncating the above ζ series for s = -1. These numbers were studied by Diophantus of Alexandria (c. 150 AD), see also more recent book by Wacław Sierpiński (SIERPIŃSKI 1962). Remark that in certain, well-defined sense, the following limit is true:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} T_n" = "\zeta(-1) = -\frac{1}{12}$$
(1)

The zero places of the function ζ in the real part less than 1, can be determined using a recursive formula:

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s)\zeta(1-s)$$
(2)

where:

 $\varGamma-$ Euler's Gamma function.

Remark 1. As can be shown using eq. (2) the value of $\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ above that $\zeta(-1) = -\frac{1}{12} \approx 0.0833333$. This makes sense of the formula (1).

Note that for the values s = -2, -4, -6... element $sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) = 0$ hence these places are called places of trivial zero.

Another interesting relation between the Riemann ζ function (SIERPINSKI 1988) and factorization problem is the formula:

$$\zeta(s) \cdot \zeta(s) = \sum \frac{d(n)}{n^s} \tag{3}$$

where:

d(n) – the number of divisors of n.

The purpose of the work. Based on numerical experiments and our observations of an array of natural numbers arranged in a triangle, we propose a factorization algorithm. For this purpose, an analytical system has been designed that allows the analysis of complex number structures and the identification of new number structures with special properties (SAMOJLUK 2019). Let us move on to briefly discuss the content of the following sections.

In section Solution approach and methodology, the main driver of the methodology and approach to the problem is presented. Then, in section Basic properties of triangular numbers important mathematical elements about triangular numbers and their properties are discussed. The section Observations of numbers presents insights related to extended structures of triangular numbers. In section The extended matrix properties of extended triangular number structures are defined. Then, in section Study of numerical structures we deepen the analysis of extended structures and their properties. Section Algorithm of geometric factorization shows the practical application of the new properties in the form of a factorization algorithm, and the last substantive section 8 describes the main conclusions of the paper. Now we come to the section where we set out the basics of our system.

Solution approach and methodology

Let us move on to discuss our novel solution of using triangular numbers for factorization. This approach is new and does not appear in the already known algorithms such as those found in the works (LEHMAN 1974, COHEN 1993, BACH, SHALLIT 1996, DIXON 1981, POLLARD 1975, ADLEMAN 1991, WILLIAMS 1982).

For ease of analysis, numbers with special properties were colour-coded. Then, in a series of numerical experiments on the data, properties of objects (patterns) were identified.

Extracting strings with unique features. In the experiments conducted, matrices of size $5 \cdot 10^3$ columns by $5 \cdot 10^3$ rows were analyzed. The total number of analyzed records (information) was $2.5 \cdot 10^7$. Next, the analysis was performed on the base matrix K = 0, where the following lines were analyzed: diagonal (DL and DR), horizontal (*H*) and vertical (*V*). Different configurations (*F*) were used to generate strings and arithmetic "jumps" on the analyzed *K* matrices (see Fig. 1). Over 10^6 different configurations of arithmetic strings were tested for each *K*.



Fig. 1. Scheme of mathematical experiments searching for a new number structure

The selection parameter for arithmetic strings was considered strings in which no prime number occurs (except for the first two elements of the string). Next, calculations were performed on matrices from K = 0 to K = 1,000. A total of 2.5 \cdot 10¹⁶ of arithmetic operations were performed.

The feature extraction process was optimized using a genetic approach (POLI et al. 2008). The analytical assumptions are illustrated below (Fig. 1).

The colours red (R), blue (B), and yellow (Y) represent numbers with the properties given in section Observations of numbers. The sign p denotes a prime number. F is an arithmetic sequence in the study number space K.

Explanation of the colours of the numbers in the paper: red (R) denotes a prime number, blue (B) denotes a number belonging to the hidden triangular structure (numbers for immediate factorization), yellow (Y) denotes numbers lying on extensions of the hidden triangular structure.

Based on the extracted sequences, observations and mathematical analyses were conducted. In the next part of the paper there is a mathematical analysis of the obtained results. The conclusion presents the constructed and tested the factorization algorithm for *blue numbers* of arbitrary length in O(1) time.

Basic properties of triangular numbers

The first observations and properties of triangular numbers were described by DIOPHANTUS (III n.e.). He presented his concept of triangular numbers as a stack of stones with one more stone in each row than in the row above it (Fig. 2).



Fig. 2. A stack of stones

So we can define the triangular numbers as recursive formula:

$$T_n = n + T_{n-1} = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 \dots + n \tag{4}$$

where:

 $T_1 = 1$, n > 1 and is an integer.

The general formula for triangular numbers can be proven by mathematical induction, and is as follows:

$$T_n = \frac{n^2 + n}{2} \tag{5}$$

Technical Sciences

We can define triangular numbers for n = 0 by $T_0 = 0$, and for negative numbers, analogously by:

$$T_{-n} = -T_n = -1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 \dots - n \tag{6}$$

where:

n > 0.

Wacław Sierpiński included in his book (SIERPIŃSKI 1962) a hypothesis:

Hypothesis 1. If all subsequent natural numbers are to be written down in subsequent lines, n numbers in the *n*-th line, that is, if we create an infinite table:

in each successive row, starting from the second, there will be at least one prime number.

This interesting hypothesis, still unproven, raises the idea of linking triangular numbers to the distribution of prime numbers and thus to the Riemann hypothesis.

It is easy to prove that $T_n + T_{n+1} = (n + 1)^2$, where n > 0, and consequently an interesting equality is assumed by the Riemann function ζ for s = 2, then we obtain:

$$\zeta(2) = 1 + \frac{1}{T_1 + T_2} + \frac{1}{T_2 + T_3} + \frac{1}{T_3 + T_4} + \frac{1}{T_4 + T_5} \dots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$$
(7)

Wacław Sierpiński in his book on triangular numbers (SIERPIŃSKI 1962) on page 42 and 43, presented proofs of the following theorems concerning the relationship of triangular numbers to prime numbers.

Theorem 1. Each triangular number > 3 has at least two different prime numbers divisors.

Theorem 2. There are infinitely many triangular numbers that are products of different prime numbers.

We conclude that the function T_n is an attractive object for further exploration.

Observations of numbers

We can not solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them Albert Einstein

In the section *Basic properties of triangular numbers* we saw definitions of numbers arranged in the shape of a triangle. This is the basic object studied in this work. In order to visualize the prime numbers in the table, we highlight them in red (see Fig. 3a).

Definition 1. By K = 0 table we mean the left-justified infinite triangular array of consecutive natural numbers written down in subsequent lines, n numbers in the *n*-th line, that is, if we create an infinite table.



Fig. 3. Triangular matrix: a - T = 55, b - T = 5,050

Observation 1. (First key observation) On a triangular stack (Fig. 3) formed of consecutive numbers, there are diagonal lines (blue) on which the prime numbers do not lie.

By $T_{(n,m)}$ the note the natural number in *n*-th row and *m*-th column at the table. **Fact 1.** For $n \ge 1, n \ge m \ge 1$

$$T_{(n,m)} = T_{n-1} + m = T_n - (n-m)$$
(8)

Especially $T_{(n,1)} = T_{n-1} + 1$.

Definition 2. Elements $T_{(m+k-1,m)}$, $k \ge 1$ form the *k*-th diagonal. The diagonal corresponding to the number $k = T_{r-1} + 1$ is called the *r*-th main diagonal.

Remark 2. These main diagonals are those colored in blue in observation 1. **Fact 2.** The *r*-th main diagonal starts with the number T_{Tr-1} + 1.

Proof. Put m = 1 and $k = T_{r-1} + 1$ into the definition (2) and the formula (8).

Fact 3. The consecutive numbers lying on the *r*-th main diagonal are of the form:

$$A_{r,c} = c\left(r + \frac{c-1}{2}\right), \qquad c \ge T_{r-2} + 1$$
 (9)

Remark 3. Parameter *c* with numerates elements of the diagonal, start from $c = T_{r-2} + 1$. We discuss the meaning of elements with $c < T_{r-2} + 1$ in the next section.

Proof. These numbers are elements of the table $T_{(m+T_{r-1},m)}$, $m \ge 1$; so we should have $c = m + T_{r-2}$ (A. Doliwa).

Fact 4. If $c \to \text{even}$, then the divisor $P = \frac{c}{2}$ and Q = 2r + c - 1. If $c \to \text{odd}$, the divisior is P = c and $Q = \frac{r + c - 1}{2}$.

Fact 5. For natural *c* and *r*, $A_{(r,c)}$ is a natural number.

Fact 6. $A_{(r, c)}$ can be prime number, only if c = 1 or c = 2.

Fact 7. For every odd *c* and *r* there is a factorization of $N = A_{(r,c)} = P \cdot Q$. *Proof.* If *N* is $N = P \cdot Q$ where $1 < P \le Q$ for odd *P* and *Q* is $N = A_{(r,c)}$ where c = Pand $r + \frac{P-1}{2} = Q$, so $r = Q - \frac{P-1}{2} > 0$.

Next we move on to the part of the paper where we discuss the new extended properties of triangular numbers.

The extended matrix

Definition 3. The extended matrix K = 0 is the matrix enlarged by successive numbers. We extend K = 0 to the left, each row is completed in sequence with smaller integers. The points of the major diagonals correspond to the formula $T_{(m+T_{r-1},m)}$.

To expand the space of numbers, we expand the lines of the figure to the right by incrementing (hyperspace) and to the left by decrementing (subspace). We will get an image K = 0 for transformation (4).

Observation 2. (Second key observation) The extended blue lines from the observation (1) marked in yellow in figure (4) also do not contain prime numbers, excluding the first two elements of the line.

Fact 8. Elements of the extended array K = 0 are given by the formula (8).

Definition 4. (K = r table) The left-justified an infinite trapezoidal array of consecutive natural numbers written m + r in row m (see Fig. 4).

Create transformation K > 0. For this purpose, we expand the main space K = 0 by subsequent columns. For a K = k transformation, we expand each row K = 0 by k columns. This means that in each subsequent row of the transformation, the number of elements in the row is equal to $T_a + k$.

14	15	11	8	24	2	35	42	3	g	69	8	8	-	
3	14	16	6	23	28	34	41	49	8	88	79	T.	-	
12	13	15	00 00	2	27	33	40	4 89	22	67	z,	\$	8	
н	12	14	11	21	8	32	g	47	8	-	1	88	Ξ	
10	F	13	10	20	25	31	8	6	=	\$	76	2	101	
თ	10	12	15	6	24	8	37	5	Ξ	64	=	87	100	
60	თ	:	14	10	33	8	=	4	63	2	74	86		
-	00	10	30	11	22	2	2	4	2	62	2	8	86	
ø	2	თ	12	16	Ξ	1	34	4	51	5	п.	84	18	
60	ø	•••	:	-	2	26	H	17	50	-	r.	83	96	
4	9	2	=	a,		r,	32	40	2	2	20	82	=	
en	4		•	Ę.	1	24	2	1	48	58	69	5	94	
8		*	00	2	2.1	8	a.	38	2.8	57	=	80	93	
-	•	4	*	r.	16	8	52	31	46	2	87	2	92	
0	-	e	ø	10	15	21	58	8	45	55	8	78	6	
7	0	3	5	თ	41	8	27	35	4	2	65	11	8	
?	7	•-	4	60	13	đ	8	34	4	33	2	18	23	
?	5	0	6)	~	12	18	25	8	42	52	88	75	8	
4	?	7	2	ø	Ξ	21	24	32	4	5	82	74	87	
φ	4	4	-	ю	5	16	8	31	\$	50	61	73	8	
ę	49	?	0	4	o	15	8	8	ജ	40	8	72	8	
5	ę	4	7	m	60	14	21	8	38	4	2	11	\$	
ø	5	4	4	5	2	13	8	28	37	47	2	20	3	
ማ	9	ę	7		0	12	ŝ	27	8	\$	49	8	8	
-10	ማ	5	4	0	ŝ	:	<u>0</u>	8	35	45	8	8	5	
÷	-10	9	ę	7	4	10	11	25	34	\$	35	67	8	
-12	Ę	ማ	Ŷ	?	e	თ	16	24	8	\$	2	8	79	
-	-12	-10		ę	2	60	15	23	32	42	3	65	78	
4	-13	Ę	ø	4	-	4	14	23	31	4	52	4	11	
-15	-14	-12	ŋ	ų	0	0	33	21	8	\$	51	63	26	

26	33	41	50	60	11	83	96	110	125	141	158	176	195	215	236	258	281	305	25
25	32	40	49	59	70	82	95	109	124	140	157	175	194	214	235	257	280	1	329
24	31	39	48	58	69	81	94	108	123	139	156	174	193	213	234	256	279	303	328
23	30	38	47	57	68	80	93	107	122	138	155	173	192	212	233	255	278	302	327
22	29	37	46	56	67	19	92	106	121	137	154	172	191	211	232	254	277	301	326
5	12	*	4	\$	2	2	-	2	120	2	12	5	2	1012	102	2	276	300	名
2	11	R	4	3	85	11	8	104	118	176	182	-	189	208	200	12	275	384	2
19	26	34	43	53	64	76	89	103	118	134	151	169	188	208	822	251	274	298	323
2	-	8	9	25	63	2		102	111	13	150	100	187	101	-	24	113	101	111
17	24	32	41	51	62	74	87	101	116	132	149	167	186	206	227	249	272	296	321
16	23	31	40	50	61	73	86	100	115	134	148	166	185	205	226	248	274	295	320
:	R	8	R	-	8	F		2	114	130	195	-	181	No.	275	247	2776	HA2	315
-	r.	29	38	48	59	11	84	98	113	129	146	164	183	203	224	246	269	293	318
13	22	28	37	47	58	70	83	87	112	128	145	163	182	202	223	245	268	292	347
1	19	11	*	46	57	69	82	96	111	127	144	162	181	201	222	244	267	291	316
11	-	26	35	45	S	18	5	8	110	12.6	7	181	1993	200	122	34	266	8	£
10	17	-	34	4	5	67	80	94	109	125	142	160	179	199	220	242	265	289	314
	16	24	11	43	-	×	61	93	108	124	141	159	178	198	219	244	264	288	313
~	2	23	32	11	23	-	80	92	181	123	140	158	111	197	218	240	263	287	312
*	14	R	31	41	25	64	z.	10	106	122	139	157	176	196	217	239	262	286	341
-	-2	11	2	40	51	10	76	8	105	121	138	-	175	195	316	138	381	592	31
-	12	20	29	2	50	62	×.	88	10.4	120	137	155	174	194	215	237	260	284	309
4	Ξ	19	28	38	-	61	74	2	103	116	1.76	154	173	193	214	236	259	283	308
•	10	-	37	37	48	8	23	87	298	118	135	153	172	192	213	235	258	282	307
~	6	24	26	36	47	69 -	2	86	101	111	134	16.2	1.IF	1.9.1	212	234	252	281	306
•	8	16	25	35	46	58	z	5.0	100	116	133	161	170	100	211	233	256	280	305
0	7	15	24	35	5	57	70	84	8	115	132	150	169	1.80	210	232	252	279	Not
7	9	14	23	34	44	35	69	83	98	114	131	149	153	188	SE.	211	254	278	303
-2	S	13	22	33	43	55	-	82	16	113	130	148	167	181	208	234	25.3	277	302
ę	4	12	21	32	42	54	67	-	96	112	129	140	166	186	201	229	152	276	301
4	e	11	20	31	41	53	99	80	s	111	128	146	t65	185	206	2.28	251	375	Ĩ
ŝ	2	10	19	30	40	52	65	79	94	110	127	145	164	184	205	227	150	274	299
9-	1	6	18	29	39	51	64	78	93	109	1.76	144	163	183	R	226	249	2173	298
1-	0	8	17	28	38	50	63	11	92	108	125	143	162	182	203	2.75	248	272	1
8	-	٢	16	27	37	49	62	76	91	107	124	142	161	181	202	224	247	271	296

Artur Samojluk



Transformations K = 6 are now filled with blue lines that appear on it (Fig. 5), and the expanded structure of the yellow lines. We then get:

$$T_{(n,m)} = T_{n-1} + m$$
 where $n \ge 1$, $m \ge -T_{n-1}$

Definition 5. Transformation *K* is the part of the extended array having the shape of a trapezoid with the upper left corner $T_{(k+1,-T_k+1)}$, the upper right corner $T_{(k+1,-T_k+K+1)}$, vertical left wall and diagonal right wall.

Observation 3. In the *K* transformations of the basis matrix, there are new diagonal lines and vertical lines free of prime numbers that extend beyond the unique space of the matrix.

In the next section we will put the blue lines in order and point out their main property related to factorisation.

Study of numerical structures

Let's start with a statement. The elements in the array $T_{(n,m)}$ do not repeat and there are all natural numbers.

Proof. The first row consists of the following numbers $1 = T_{(K+1, -T_{K}+1)}$, 2, ..., $K+1 = T_{(K+1, -T_{K}+K+1)}$. The first element in the *l* line $T_{(K+1, -T_{K}+1)}$ is greater by one than the last element $T_{(K+l-1, -T_{K}+K+l-1)}$ in the previous line.

$$T_{(K+l,-T_{K}+1)} - T_{(K+l-1,-T_{K}+l+K-1)} = T_{K+l-1} - T_{K} + 1 - T_{K+l-2} + T_{K} - l - K + 1$$

= K + l - 1 + 1 - l - K + 1 = 1 (10)

From the observation (1) (2) we obtain a drawing of the numerical structure (see Fig. 6) which has several important properties.



	21	231	252	273	294	315	336	357	378	399	420	441	462	
	20	210	230	250	270	290	310	330	350	370	390	410	430	
	19	190	209	228	247	266	285	304	323	342	361	380	399	
	18	171	189	207	225	243	261	279	297	315	333	351	369	
3	17	153	170	187	204	221	238	255	272	289	306	323	340	
	16	136	152	168	184	200	216	232	248	264	280	296	312	
	15	120	135	150	165	180	195	210	225	240	255	270	285	
	14	105	119	133	147	161	175	189	203	217	231	245	259	:= 12
3	13	91	104	117	130	143	156	169	182	195	208	221	234	l and <i>i</i>
	12	78	6	102	114	126	138	150	162	174	186	198	210	$r \ c = 2$
	Ħ	99	11	88	66	110	121	132	143	154	165	176	187	ix θ fo
	10	55	99	75	85	56	105	115	125	135	145	155	165	d matr
3	6	45	54	63	72	81	90	66	108	117	126	135	144	. Fille
	~	36	4	52	99	89	76	84	92	100	108	116	124	Fig. 7
	7	28	35	42	49	99	63	70	11	84	91	98	105	
	9	21	27	33	39	45	51	57	63	69	75	81	87	
	5	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	
	4	10	14	18	3	26	30	34	38	42	46	50	54	
	3	9	6	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	33	36	39	
	2	e	5	1	6	Ħ	13	15	17	19	21	23	25	
	-	-	2	~	4	5	9	7	00	6	10	Ŧ	12	

3...

From the drawings (4), (5), (6) we obtain formulas describing the structures of sequences in K = k transformation matrices. Where *r* is a number of *blue line* in analyzed structure.

Ordering of the line *r* **to the set** θ . The set θ we can draw a one-consistent matrix, where any row is the yellow line and extension is a blue line. For triangular numbers in the columns, we add the ordinal value of the column multiplied by the row number minus 1 (see formula 12). We get a matrix containing the grid of all the complex numbers.

$$\theta = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 & T_3 & T_4 & T_5 & \cdots & T_c \\ T_1 + 1 & T_2 + 2 & T_3 + 3 & T_4 + 4 & T_5 + 5 & \cdots & T_c + U_{c1} \\ T_1 + 2 & T_2 + 4 & T_3 + 6 & T_4 + 8 & T_5 + 10 & \cdots & T_c + U_{c2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_1 + U_{1r} & T_2 + U_{2r} & T_3 + U_{3r} & T_4 + U_{4r} & T_5 + U_{5r} & \cdots & T_c + U_{cr} \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

Where T_c is formula (5), c is θ column, and r is θ row and value U_{cr} – factor for matrix cell. Next we get:

$$U_{cr} = c(r-1) \tag{12}$$

The main property of this matrix is that the column number c is its divisor. If the result of division is with the remainder, the divisor of the numbers in the columns will be 2c. In the columns where the ordinal number is divisible by the ordinal number of the other columns, some numbers will be repeated. This arrangement of the grid causes it to contain all the complex numbers.

Figure 7 is an example set θ for max c = 21 generated using matrix (11). Figure 7 can be represented in binary form (see Fig. 8), where we mark numbers that are *blue numbers* in blue and numbers that are not – in white. Prime numbers are marked in red. We get then:



Fig. 8. θ matrix – binary representation (blue and non-blue numbers)

Observation 4. In the θ matrix there are structures of increased density duplicating the blue numbers. These appear as diagonal lines that originate near the upper left corner of the matrix and lay diagonally down the matrix.

The *blue numbers* (which factorise immediately) are distributed in the matrix θ in such a way that their distribution patterns can be determined. The repetition of a *blue number* N in different columns c of the matrix indicates that the number has more than one distribution factor. In the next last section, we present the factorization algorithm.

Algorithm of geometric factorization

The algorithm presented in the following part of the chapter invoices every *blue number*. The operation of the algorithm boils down to determining the position of the number N in the space U. Then it checks if the tested number N lies on the line r. If the number lies on the line r it means that it belongs to the matrix θ . Knowing the position of the number in the θ matrix we determine the column c. Determining the column c for a number lying, is equivalent to finding the divisor of the number $N = P \cdot Q$ under study. Let's start with the theorem.

Theorem 3. For each $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the unique space U, in the transformation K and lying on a diagonal or vertical line and belonging to line r in the matrix θ , a divisor can be determined.

Execution of the algorithm. In the numerical structure of the space *U* defined as the transformation K = 0 there are diagonal lines *r* belonging to the matrix θ . In the Figure 9 they are marked as yellow-blue lines. In the following steps of the algorithm we will focus on the blue line part.



Fig. 9. Transformation K = 0 with unique space U

We begin the algorithm by checking the row number in the space U on which the number N we are looking for lies. For this purpose, we will start with a fact:

Fact 10. *N* is a triangular number if and only if 8*N* + 1 is a square number.

Let us define the parameters r_U as the line number in the space U, and r_θ as the line number in the matrix θ . If r_U belongs to a part of any of the blue lines, then $r_U = r_\theta$. Next we will use one of the most important operations on triangular numbers, which is the ability to instantaneously determine the position of a number in the sequence T_r . The property is described in W. Sierpiński book *Triangular numbers*, discussed earlier (SIERPIŃSKI 1962). Using it we determine the value of r_U :

$$r_U = \frac{\sqrt{(8N+1)} - 1}{2} \tag{13}$$

Remark 4. The parameter r_U takes an integer value only if *N* lies on an edge of the space *U*. This means that *N* is then a triangular number.

Knowing the number of the row r_U in which the number N lies, we can determine the first number from this row, this number we denote as F. The number F is necessary to determine the number of the column c_U of the number N in the space U. To determine the number F, we use the basic formula for triangular numbers (5), which after substitutions has the form:

$$F = \frac{|r_U|(|r_U| + 1)}{2} + 1 \tag{14}$$

Remark 5. The parameter r_U is rounded down to get the correct result *F*. Fact 11. $N \ge F$.

Using this fact we calculate a column c_U for number *N*. Hence, subtract the first number of row *F* from the factorized number *N* and add 1:

$$c_U = (N - F) + 1$$
(15)

When $c_U = 0$, the value of c_U takes the value r_U , so then $c_U = r_U$. We then compute the diagonal number *D* for the matrix space *U*. This is needed to check that this diagonal line is the blue recursive line later in the proof. We round the value of r_U up to an integer.

$$D = [r_U] - (c_U - 1) \tag{16}$$

Next we need to check that the given diagonal line *D* is a blue line. To do so, we will again use the fact (10), the action will be denoted as *L*. For the result to be correct, assume that the blue line count starts from 0, so subtract 1 from the value of *D*. Write the new line number as $D_{new} = D - 1$:

$$L = \sqrt{8D_{\text{new}} + 1} \tag{17}$$

Technical Sciences

If the resulting number *L* is an integer, this means that the number *N* lies on the blue line θ . It also means that we can immediately decompose this number into factors *P* and *Q*.

If the resulting *L* is a fraction, then the number is not in space. The execution of the algorithm can then be terminated. If $L \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $D_{\text{new}} \in \theta$, then determining the parameter c_{θ} is equivalent to finding a divisor *P* of the number *N*. To determine c_{θ} , add the length of the yellow line to the part of the blue line (see Fig. 6 and 9). The part of the yellow line has length $T_{r_{\theta}-2}$. To determine the parameter r_{θ} we will again use the property of triangular numbers to determine the position in a series.

$$r_{\theta} = \frac{\sqrt{(8D_{\text{new}} + 1)} - 1}{2} + 1 \tag{18}$$

and

$$c_{\theta} = c_U + T_{r_{\theta}-2} \tag{19}$$

Next we determining a divisor of a number N. The final step of the algorithm is to determine the P and Q factors.

$$Q = \frac{N}{c_{\theta}}$$
(20)

If new result $Q \in \mathbb{Z}$ we calculate $P = \frac{N}{Q}$ and finish the algorithm, if the result Q ended with a remainder value of 0.5 then new value of Q_{new} is:

$$Q_{\rm new} = 2Q \tag{21}$$

and we calculate *P* using the obvious formula:

$$P = \frac{N}{Q_{\text{new}}}$$
(22)

Factorisation results for numbers $N > 10^{800}$. The numbers shown below are examples of pseudoprime numbers N lying on the blue lines of the matrix θ (see Eq. 11 and Fig. 7). The N numbers have been factorised into products of P and Q at time O(1). The products P and Q, after examination by the Rabin-Miller algorithm, were marked as prime numbers.

Example 1: length of number *N* is 856 digits.

 $N = P \cdot Q$:

 $24500003634679768990720359931122629789054129036731950939180706695\\724290608989365391399846392305826771143790151554444187435543395928\\542593168836512046447689574942858308098075864418887107862817126976\\471341465593389737655820902769991449125762133266315621946560829012$

 $217486569575750843346328428345270623619604114530311515074001607690\\780345002322220472477428702565481557366584957925117989480227077016\\093079583758358683039141105250214625262527284733608088542303121151\\659434905303009532066332713776738626913406917420721941467029751074\\391519374463464365512479708850631016401140509507315469879247073243\\379461678874143379726483085496001765044695643443036444505065149804\\97748348102407897010690480648235392389980864994210436454182549180\\523149303880531964472069480198375530986095092335490853941008205767\\880963923845053673137476975088070960479813291521409701014060792537$

Result of factorisation:

P:

 $70000005192399477408083849989158987711194501874717550671620526313\\4148262209656036870436099531896687992241043207136022932902040415\\626970447860736819513957721087759786715778064520875070721701899318\\256382294775878171081892119857992553178225175050874529733723414728\\50855988578528203532511240395966199708960174116742592508090056827\\64997073137135888100523413792169189813323297374958634120890177222\\8300493400711854498892638596052012223$

Q:

 $35000002596199738704041924994579493855597250937358775335810263156\\70741311048280184352180497659483439961205216035680114664510202078\\1348522393036840975697886054387989335788903226043753536085094965\\91281911473879390855409460599289962765891125875254372648668617073\\6425427994289264101766255620197983099854480087058371296254045028\\4138249853656856794405026170689608459490666164868747931706044508\\86114150246700355927249446319298026006119$

Example 2: length of number *N* is 1012 digits.

 $N = P \cdot Q$:

 $1001337220145992155372435015262167286485033778615208102633508519\\ 3435212887472353510690132746387203439990035050263581430792659084\\ 6531515412953739400709910253547978675693831041786679999991426100\\ 480123907551850978610608003711964034995972765928502605266730029\\ 5079909373771851690904520751245733360353205656198817604707606628\\ 7137646046745151106932831141386202002224666798136460080586662387\\ 80103687617131874092057031915108271419093762653172380873640399463\\ 651793548838508467630927465339837186732838031859165950506008983\\ 5353718104766253745131801695566420651623960008959001576510850412\\ 3243422214813950940850598481495768522510636453278449314656578356\\ 2936842877190257051491382053670653461893474039120726222689974091\\ 8811017705986930226637053505526872333523513534363301567603326508$

 $7012478367007908569354828566524587320274399954346933021064165610\\7426431996430739860190339109962839012965785473397079077794432205\\2177450653693681661476537064503373687218999339537804548952140286\\47712633369315659118839807500888531286932571511291341$

Result of factorisation:

P:

 $\begin{array}{l} 44751250712041382126107540800165752835106714952155965882614702998\\ 40891416031963816510601574217756824945010749902958954445493896269\\ 53800722678524868367899342385284024493174024894015283513140613578\\ 06480558146884060316949780669261045052471536861677050061257769804\\ 27745316527397093499622166683582438857010195513204376396468272470\\ 38980607000735306053655489546551975668685923732378955161461738654\\ 7153652782063253366449120994005508361345593615043042899524751709\\ 6310440903891739976771831357806364319274476592705969\end{array}$

Q:

 $22375625356020691063053770400082876417553357476077982941307351499\\ 20445708015981908255300787108878412472505374951479477222746948134\\ 76900361339262434183949671192642012246587012447007641756570306789\\ 0324027907344203015847489033463052252623576843083852503062888490\\ 21387265826369854674981108334179121942850509775660218819823413623\\ 51949030350036765302682774477327598783434296186618947758073086932\\ 73576826391031626683224560497002754180672796807521521449762375854\\ 8155220451945869988385915678903182159637238296352989$

Example 3: length of number *N* is 1970 digits.

 $N = P \cdot Q$:

 $50000049557813450819513337974258437022991928946794095932766147601\\ 45562630179200122684506019831273556068070910116753384878391776037\\ 86409938854477134439597474552836086751413956486939739001499669352\\ 86517177412124326727262822217834286164941337284056452699744272125\\ 36234615671068526402414253933790475700909659727345715409614285995\\ 28745614647973247526656690232060752070600645746675725476636694805\\ 16356682221678471500866015676971663478326891732756290765839913898\\ 03512476186782219451848814338833467571794799158640519022386561952\\ 712161799585147209044898518445263326419761635581380341649717501498\\ 12026291630181307631561707666382704237365317269883216261722255270\\ 42464828354057788763683771083574098553171756204100390344515187324\\ 8293951433653523323822589448187772619950374621366242716066057939\\ 2458761787823265863338256110598542138551323454113009215099426773\\ 327567328447905074684303236308928623648036294444206603167995352\\ 8889421201809624600725296213447393036978509896748705637157010617$

1268863269389818188092477367528834313987674346529383742755684071

Result of factorisation:

P:

 $10000004955780117094122884525509424638684731274190306155862905990\\ 08433091152686604823911410388180930868952853537625062875445324440\\ 103299295131085962536013229157996539862028181215563582285792741583\\ 586009357780631775151113020349944403871864918054112216636134793251\\ 018869892406101081170790477102899246842958417169007068315048105326\\ 838150559154505637357138041478871263113392819130469967066085685282\\ 348580366162937147976674540772517303505888764527502358716868938388\\ 703374089498898607319095171302453316248934353405875337910342492914\\ 666356766481697969824522782164192929291023564352461243947850484949\\ 277402032283541102225582418003689905068096780776043515436811762791\\ 566980191614162567785768855219787638513345953664396655115933897294\\ 85355321625931228930104451604220413302991988954914420823989919445\\ 505939023653253023490866137985237589574492645157654896322459173518\\ 32069545639255156949336029858510643530736391020375960837904294553\\ 2117472892781807581251781267445388857907501411848395657697076529563$

Q :

 $5000002477890058547061442262754712319342365637095153077931452995\\0421654557634330241195570519409046543447642676881253143772266222\\0051649647565542981268006614578998269931014090607781791142896370\\7917930467889031588757555651017497220193593245902705610831806739\\662550943494620305054058539523855144962342147920858450353415752\\405266341907527957725281867856902073943563155669640956523498353\\3042842641174290183081468573988337270386258651752944382263751179$

 $3584344691943516870447494493036595475856512266581244671767029376\\ 6895517124645733317838324084898491226139108209646464551178217623\\ 0621973925242474638701016141770551112791209001844952534048390388\\ 0217577184058813957834900958070812838928844276098938192566729768\\ 3219832755796694864742677660812965614465052225802110206651495994\\ 47745721041199495972275296951182662651174543306899261879478724632\\ 25788274481612295867591603477281962757847466801492925532176536819\\ 5510187980418952147276605873644639090379062589063372269442895375\\ 0705924197828848538264787$

Example 4: length of number *N* is 2201 digits.

 $N = P \cdot Q$:

Q :

08489849122613910820964646455117821762306219739252424746387010161

1625931228930104451604220413302991988954914420823989919445505939

Result of factorisation: *P* :

 $6290430956426821130337900175371767593630211835467269222851781143\\3115063544456735213371128139448282655839975799520562755085798708\\8126180845747906270584946985353436955300968423878227237171533100\\9772285073027678432560594575532118858558888245980762689979632647$

Conclusion

The algorithm presented in this paper extends the factorization possibilities previously offered by the properties of triangular numbers. The algorithm is easy to implement and its complexity for factorization in the group of *blue numbers* is O(1). Using the algorithm in generating new RSA keys increases their security. The presented algorithm is also a new look at experimental approach to the analysis of number spaces generated by triangular numbers and derived patterns.

It should be noted that the disclosure of new hidden triangular number structures may result in the need to check the currently used RSA keys to exclude pseudoprime numbers that are blue numbers. The realization that there may be pseudoprime numbers that lie on the hidden triangular number structure is a new weakness in the cryptographic security of RSA keys. It is recommended to arm the algorithms that generate RSA keys with a verifier that checks if a given pseudoprime number is not a *blue number*.

Acknowledges

We would like to thank Professor Adam Doliwa for valuable math tips.

References

- ADLEMAN L.M. 1991. Factoring numbers using singular integers. Proc. 23rd Annual ACM Symp. on Theory of Computing (STOC), New Orleans, p. 64-71.
- ADLEMAN L.M. 2005. Algorithmic number theory and its relationship to computational complexity. Proceedings 35th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, p. 88-113. https:// doi.org/10.1109/SFCS.1994.365702.
- ADLEMAN L.M., POMERANCE C., RUMELY R.S. 1983. On distinguishing prime numbers from composite numbers. Annals of Mathematic, 117: 173-206.
- BACH E., SHALLIT J. 1996. Algorithmic number theory. Efficient algorithms. MIT Press, Cambrigde.
- BONEH D. 1999. Twenty Years of attacks on the RSA Cryptosystem. Notices of the American Mathematical Society, 46(2): 203-213.
- BREUR T. 1996. Statistical Power Analysis and the contemporary "crisis" in social sciences. Journal of Marketing Analytics, 4(2–3): 61–65. https://doi.org 10.1057/s41270-016-0001-3.
- COHEN H. 1993. A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Command and Control in the Fifth Domain. 2012. Command Five Pty Ltd.
- DIOPHANTUS. III n.e. Arithmetica.
- DIXON J.D. 1981. Asymptotically Fast Factorization of Integer. Mathematics of Computation, 36(153): 255-260.
- FAYYAD U., PIATETSKY-SHAPIRO G., SMYTH P. 1996. From Data Mining to Knowledge Dis-covery in Databases. AI Magazine, Norwich.
- GAUSS C.F. 1966. Disquisitiones Arithmeticae By Arthur A. Clarke. Yale University Press, New Haven.

- HASTIE T., TIBSHIRANI R., FRIEDMAN J. 2009. The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction. Springer, New York.
- KALISKI B. 2006. Growing Up with Alice and Bob: Three Decades with the RSA Cryptosystem. RSA Security.
- LEHMAN R.S. 1974. Factoring Large Integers. Mathematics of Computation, 28(126): 637-646.
- MARTÍN-LÓPEZ E., LAING A., LAWSON T. 2012. Experimental realisation of Shor's quantum factoring algorithm using qubit recycling. Nature Photonics, 6: 773–776. arxiv.org. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nphoton.2012.259. arXiv:1111.4147.
- MOREE P., PETRYKIEWICZ I., SEDUNOVA A. 2018. A Computational History of Prime Numbers and Riemann Zeros. arXiv:1810.05244. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1810.05244.
- NIVEN I., ZUCKERMAN H., MONTGOMERY H. 1991. An Introduction to The Theory of Numbers. John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken.
- POLI R., LANGDON W.B., MCPHEE N.F. 2008. A Field Guide to Genetic Programming. Lulu Enterprises, Morrisville.
- POLLARD J.M. 1975. A Monte Carlo method for factorization. Nordisk Tidskrift for Informationsbehandlung (BIT), 15: 331-334.
- RIEMANN'S B. 1859. *Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grosse*. On the Number of Primes Less Than a Given Magnitude. Monatsberichte der Berliner Akademie, Berlin.
- RIVEST R.L., SHAMIR A., ADLEMAN L. 1978. A Method for Obtaining Digital Signatures and Public-key Cryptosystems. Communications of the ACM, 21(2): 120–126. https://doi. org/10.1145/359340.359342. MIT Laboratory for Computer Science, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

RSA CyberCrime Intelligence Service. 2013. RSA Security, Rsa.com.

- SAMOJLUK A. 2019. Algorithm of Geometric Factorization. Master thesis. Chair of Mathematics and Computer Science, Uniwersytet Warmińsko-Mazurski, Olsztyn.
- SANJEEV A., BOAZ B. 2009. Computational Complexity: A Modern Approach. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- SCHATZ D., BASHROUSH R., WALL J. 2017. Towards a More Representative Definition of Cyber Security. Journal of Digital Forensics, Security and Law, 12(2).
- SEGARAN T., HAMMERBACHER J. 2009. Beautiful Data: The Stories Behind Elegant Data Solutions. O'Reilly Media, Sebastopol.
- SIERPIŃSKI W. 1962. *Liczby trójkątne (Triangle numbers)*. Biblioteczka Matematyczna, t. 12, Państwowe Zakłady Wydawnictw Szkolnych, Warszawa.
- SIERPIŃSKI W. 1987. *Wstęp do teorii liczb*. Third Edition. Biblioteczka Matematyczna, t. 12, Państwowe Zakłady Wydawnictw Szkolnych, Warszawa.
- SIERPINSKI W. 1988. *Elementary Theory of Numbers*. Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warszawa.
- STEVENS T. 2018. Global Cybersecurity: New Directions in Theory and Methods. Politics and Governance, 6(2): 1–4. https://doi.org/10.17645/pag.v6i2.1569.
- WILLIAMS H.C. 1982. A p+1 Method of Factoring. Mathematics of Computation, 39(159): 225-234.
- YOST J. R. 2015. The Origin and Early History of the Computer Security Software Products Industry. IEEE Annals of the History of Computing, 37(2): 46–58. https://doi.org/10.1109/MAHC.2015.21.