## **Acta Neophilologica**

## PEER REVIEW REPORT

	•••••		
	•••••	••••••	
	V 4		
Criterion	Yes*	No*	Comments
1. The title reflects the contents of the paper			
2. The size of the paper matches its contents			
3. The paper provides new insights into research in the field			
4. The paper's structure is correct			
5. The methodology selected by the author is appropriate			
6. The stages of description, analysis and interpretation are carried out correctly			
7. The language of the paper meets the requirements for academic discourse			
8. Sources are correctly selected and quoted			
9. Graphic materials (e.g., tables, drawings, photographs, etc.) are connected to the topic of the paper			
10. The summary reflects the contents of the paper			
11. The key words are suitable			
* Chose by putting X in the box			
Other comments:			
Final decision (please underline): - accept as is; - accept with minor technical revisions introduced; - accept with major revisions introduced according to the - reject (negative review).	reviewer's	s suggestio	ns;
(date, venue)	(reviewer's signature)		